UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,503	03/11/2004	Yang Gao	0160113	8334
53375 FARJAMI & F.	7590 11/19/200 ARJAMI LLP	9	EXAMINER	
26522 LA ALAMEDA AVE.			SERROU, ABDELALI	
SUITE 360 MISSION VIEJO, CA 92691			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/799,503	GAO, YANG					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Abdelali Serrou	2626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	ly 2009.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-12,14-22,24-28 and 30-49</u> is/are p	ending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-12,14-22,24-28 and 30-49</u> is/are re	ejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	- · · ·	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• •					
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In response to the office action mailed on 5/12/09, applicant filed an amendment on 7/10/09, amending claims 1, 12, 22, and 28. Claims 2, 13, 23, and 29 were previously cancelled. The pending claims are 1, 3-12, 14-22, 24-28, and 30-49.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 12, 22, and 28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The newly added subject matter of switching operating between narrowband and wideband is most in view of Vaino et al. The new introduced subject matter has no support in the specification of the current application. Therefore, a new matter rejection under 35 U.S.C 112 first paragraph is given below.

As per the rest of the claims, and combinations of prior art reference, applicant has no further arguments beside the ones mentioned above. Therefore, all the combinations of prior art reference mentioned above are valid, and all other claims are rejected for the same reasons as set above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The pending claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Independent claims 1, 12, 22, and 28 contain

Art Unit: 2626

subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The above claims state that the CELP encoder/decoder switch operating between the narrowband and the wideband frequencies. Such concept is not supported by the specification of the current application. Page 13, lines 4-6 state "Although the above embodiments of the present application are described with reference to wideband speech signals, the present invention is equally applicable to narrowband speech signals". Nowhere in the specification wherein mentioned that the encoder/decoder are switching in operating between a narrowband and wideband frequencies. The rest of the claims are rejected for being dependent on the listed above claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The pending claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Independent claims 1, 12, 22, and 28 state that the step of transmitting the CELP coding parameters as part of each of the plurality of CELP speech frames is performed in the narrowband frequencies. However, classifying each of the plurality CELP speech frames; creating a plurality voicing indexes; and transmitting the plurality of voicing indexes is performed in the wideband frequencies. As per the steps of obtaining an input speech signal by the encoder; and coding the input speech signal by an encoder using a Code Excited Linear Prediction coder, applicant failed to points whether these steps are performed in the

Art Unit: 2626

wideband or narrowband frequencies. To further timely prosecution and evaluate prior art, the Examiner has interpreted that the unsure steps are performed in the narrowband frequencies.

Appropriate correction is required.

The rest of the claims are rejected for being dependent on the listed above claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-10, 12, 14-20, 22, 24-26, 28, 30-32, and 34-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kroon (USPN 5,664,055). In view of Vainio et al. (US 6,782,367 filed May 8, 2001 and issued Aug. 24, 2004).

Regarding **claim 1**, Kroon teaches:

obtaining an input speech signal by said encoder (speech signal; column 1, lines 43-64 with column 6, lines 2-14);

coding said input speech signal by said encoder using a Code Excited Linear Prediction coder to generate coding parameters for synthesis of said input speech signal (ABC; column 4, lines 18-64);

generating a plurality CELP speech frames by said encoder, each of said plurality CELP speech frames including said CELP coding parameters (CELP; column 1, lines 43-64);

classifying each of said plurality CELP speech frames into a plurality of classes, wherein each of said plurality of classes of said input speech signal represents, a different degree of

periodicity of said input speech signal, and wherein said plurality of classes of said input speech signal include a background noise class, an unvoiced class, a first voiced class, a second voiced class, wherein said first voiced class has a lower degree of periodicity than said second voiced class (inherently disclosed by the encoder of Kroon because the encoder of Fig.3 uses both Fixed Code Book (FCB) (unvoiced) (see Fig. 3, element 120) and Adaptive Code Book (ACB) (voiced) (See Fig. 3, element 110). Both, (FCB) and (ACB) got their corresponding gain, which indicate the strength of voiced and unvoiced parts);

creating a plurality voicing indexes by said encoder wherein each of said plurality of voicing indexes specifically designates one of said plurality of classes of said input speech signal (Fig. 3 and (subsections II.2.2. A-C, wherein the decoder make use of all coded parameters and indexes, including voicing indexes, provided by the encoder); and

transmitting each of said plurality of voicing indexes as part of each of said plurality of CELP speech frames and in addition to said CELP coding parameters, by said encoder to said decoder for specifically designating one of plurality of classes corresponding to each of said plurality of CELP speech frames, whereby enhancing said synthesis of said input speech signal (Fig. 3 and column 1, lines 43-64 with column 4, lines 49-64 and column 6, lines 2-14).

Kroon does not explicitly teach switching between operating in narrowband and wideband. Vainio in the same field of endeavor teaches switching between operating in narrowband and wideband (see Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Vainio's feature of switching between operating in narrowband and wideband in order to allow increased data transmission.

Regarding claim 12, Kroon teaches:

receiving a plurality of Code Excited Linear Prediction (CELP) speech frames by said decoder from said encoder (CELP; column 1, lines 43-64);

obtaining a plurality of CELP coding parameters by decoding each of said plurality of CELP speech frames by said decoder (decoder; column 1, lines 43-64);

obtaining a plurality of voicing indexes in addition to said CELP coding parameters by decoding each of said plurality of CELP speech frames by said decoder for obtaining classification designation of said input speech signal by the encoder, wherein each of said plurality of voicing indexes specifically designates one of plurality of classes of said input speech signal represents, a different degree of periodicity of said input speech signal, and wherein said plurality of classes of said input speech signal include a background noise class, an unvoiced class, a first voiced class, a second voiced class, wherein said first voiced class has a lower degree of periodicity than said second voiced class (inherently disclosed by the encoder of Kroon because the encoder of Fig.3 uses both Fixed Code Book (FCB) (unvoiced) (see Fig. 3, element 120) and Adaptive Code Book (ACB) (voiced) (See Fig. 3, element 110). Both, (FCB) and (ACB) got their corresponding gain, which indicate the strength of voiced and unvoiced parts); and

generating a synthesized version of said input speech signal using said plurality of CELP coding parameters and said plurality of voicing indexes by said decoder (column 1, lines 43-64 with column 4, lines 49-64 and column 6, lines 2-14).

Kroon does not explicitly teach switching between operating in narrowband and wideband. Vainio in the same field of endeavor teaches switching between operating in narrowband and wideband (see Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Vainio's feature of switching between operating in narrowband and wideband in order to allow increased data transmission.

Regarding **claims 3 and 14**, Kroon discloses a method and encoder wherein at least one of said plurality of voicing indexes provides information from said encoder to said decoder for controlling an adaptive high pass filter by said decoder (high pass filter; column 4, lines 49-64 and column 27, lines 49-67).

Regarding **claims 4 and 15**, Kroon discloses a method and encoder wherein at least one of said plurality of voicing indexes provides information from said encoder to said decoder for controlling an adaptive perceptual weighting filter by said decoder (adaptive perceptual weighting filter; column 8, lines 39-54).

Regarding **claims 5 and 16**, Kroon discloses a method and encoder wherein at least one of said plurality of voicing indexes provides information from said encoder to said decoder for controlling an adaptive Sinc window by said decoder (Sinc; column 20, lines 4-15).

Regarding **claims 6 and 17**, Kroon discloses a method and encoder wherein said enhancing at least one of said plurality of voicing indexes provides information from said encoder to said decoder for controlling spectrum tilt (spectral envelope tilted; column 17, lines 29-35) of said input speech by short-term enhancement of a fixed-codebook by said decoder (short-term; column 8, lines 31-37).

Regarding **claim 7**, Kroon discloses a method and encoder wherein said enhancing said synthesis of at least one of said plurality of voicing indexes provides information from said encoder to said decoder for controlling a perceptual weighting filter by said decoder (column 4, lines 49 – column 5, line 8).

Regarding **claims 8 and 18**, Kroon discloses a method and encoder wherein said enhancing at least one of said plurality of voicing indexes provides information from said encoder to said decoder for controlling a linear prediction coder by said decoder (LP; column 4, lines 49-64).

Regarding **claims 9 and 19**, Kroon discloses a method and encoder wherein said enhancing said synthesis at least one of said plurality of voicing indexes provides information from said encoder to said decoder for controlling a pitch enhancement fixed-codebook by said decoder (fixed codebook coupled to a pitch filter; abstract and column 27, lines 11-17).

Regarding **claims 10 and 20**, Kroon discloses a method and encoder wherein said enhancing said synthesis of at least one of said plurality of voicing indexes provides information from said encoder to said decoder for controlling post pitch enhancement by said decoder (post-filtering; column 27, lines 49-67).

Regarding **claims 34 and 37**, Kroon discloses a method and encoder wherein each of said plurality of voicing indexes has a plurality of bits indicative of a classification of each frame of said plurality of CELP speech frames (column 23, lines 31-59.

Regarding **claims 35 and 38**, Kroon discloses a method and encoder wherein said plurality of bits is three bits (3 bits; column 23, lines 29-33).

Regarding **claims 36 and 39**, Kroon discloses a method and encoder wherein said classification is indicative of periodicity of said input speech signal (periodicity classification; column 30, lines 1-65).

Regarding claims 22, (24, 30), (25, 31), (26, 33), 28, (40, 43), 41, and 44: respective system claims 1, (3,14), (4,15), (5,16), 12, (34,37), (35,38), (36,39) and method claims 22, (24,

Application/Control Number: 10/799,503

Art Unit: 2626

30), (25, 31), (26, 33), 28, (40, 43), 41, and 44 are related as apparatus and the method of using same, with each claimed element's function corresponding to the claimed method step. Accordingly claims 22, (24, 30), (25, 31), (26, 33), 28, (40, 43), 41, and 44 are similarly rejected under the same rationale as applied above with respect to method claims 1, (3,14), (4,15), (5,16), 12, (34,37), (35,38), (36,39).

Regarding **claims 45**, Kroon discloses a method and encoder wherein said periodic index ranges from a low periodic index to a high periodic index (column 30, lines 1-65).

As per **claim 46**, Kroon teaches wherein said plurality of voicing indexes is used in place of pitch gain for post pitch enhancement (Abstract).

As per **claim 47**, Kroon teaches wherein said plurality of voicing indexes are used to control a modification to a low pass filter for said Sinc window (col. 20, lines 4-15).

As per claims 48-49, Kroon teaches wherein each of said plurality of voicing indexes is derived from a normalized pitch correlation parameter Rp, where -1.0< Rp< 1.0,(col. 20, lines 4-15, wherein normalized pitch correlation vectors are used. As per the claimed range, the examiner notes that correlation is statistic representation of how closely two variables co-vary; it can vary from -1 (perfect negative correlation) through 0 (no correlation) to +1 (perfect positive correlation). Therefore, the claimed range is a standard range to be used.).

Claims 11, 21, 27 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kroon in view of Vianio, Morii et al. (PGPUB 2006/0206317), hereinafter referenced as Morii.

Regarding claims 11, 21, Kroon discloses a method of improving synthesized speech quality, but does not specifically teach a method and encoder wherein at least one of said

plurality of voicing indexes is for use by said decoder to select at least one sub-codebook from a plurality of sub-codebooks.

Morii discloses a method and encoder wherein at least one of said plurality of voicing indexes is for use by said decoder to select at least one sub-codebook from a plurality of sub-codebooks (sub-codebooks; column 8, paragraphs 0109-0110 with column 4, paragraphs 0049-0056), to achieve an excellent sound quality at the time of decoding.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kroon's method and encoder as described above, to correspond to input signals with carious characteristics and achieve excellent sound qualities at the time of decoding (abstract).

Regarding claims 27 and 33, system claims 11 and 21 and method claims 27 and 33 are related as apparatus and the method of using same, with each claimed element's function corresponding to the claimed method step. Accordingly claims 27 and 33 are similarly rejected under the same rationale as applied above with respect to method claims 11 and 21.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/799,503 Page 11

Art Unit: 2626

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdelali Serrou whose telephone number is 571-272-7638. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Abdelali Serrou/ Examiner, Art Unit 2626 /David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626